UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,241	03/09/2006	Rajendra K. Joshi	08201.0065-00000	9619
	7590 06/02/200 C / FINNEGAN HEND	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YOR	RK AVENUE, NW	HOLLOMAN, NANNETTE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Lauren.Stevens@finnegan.com Regional-Desk@finnegan.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/571,241	JOSHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NANNETTE HOLLOMAN	1612	

	NANNETTE HOLLOMAN	1612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (2) the period for reply expires on: (3) the period for reply expires on: (4) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (5) the period for reply expires on: (6) the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adaptive for the period for reply expires on: (2) the period for reply expires on: (3) the period for reply expires on: (4) the period for reply	_	n the final rejection, which	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CED 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		oduoc
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27,33,35,36 and 42-49.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>28, 34 and 37-41</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Frederick Krass/	/N. L. /		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/N. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1612		
	Examinor, Art Offic 1012		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claim 1 to recite the limitation "wherein the cardiac insufficiency is selected from acute, energetic, energetic-dynamic, hypodynamic, excitomotor, hypoxemic, primary, compensated, decompensated, relative or stress insufficiency and left ventricular insufficiency." This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the compositions of claims 1, and further analysis would be required to determine whether the limitations would have been obvious. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the newly amended claims are patentable over the prior art references are most at this time due to non-entry of the proposed amendment.